1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	e e	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		27 JUN '17 AN10:22
4	June 12, 201' Concord, New	7 - 11:01 a.m.
5	Concord, New	nampshire
6	RE:	DG 17-048
7		LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY
8		UTILITIES: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing on Temporary rates)
9		
10		Chairman Martin D. Hanishans Dragiding
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
12		
13		Sandy Deno, Clerk
14	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty
15		Utilities:
16		Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
17		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Brian Buckley, Esq.
18		Pradip Chattopadhyay, Asst. Cons. Adv. James Brennan, Finance Director
19		Office of Consumer Advocate
20		Reptg. PUC Staff: Paul B. Dexter, Esq.
21		Alexander F. Speidel, Esq. Stephen Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water
22		Jayson Laflamme, Gas & Water Division
23	Court Repo	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
2.4		

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: DAVID B. SIMEK PRADIP CHATTOPADHYAY	
5	JAYSON P. LAFLAMME	
6		
7	Direct examination by Mr. Sheehan	5
8	Direct examination by Mr. Buckley	8
9	Direct examination by Mr. Dexter	10
10	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey 1	11, 15
11	Interrogatories by Chairman Honigberg 1	14, 17
12	Redirect examination by Mr. Sheehan 1	14, 19
13		
14	* * *	
15		
16	EXHIBITS	
17	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
18	1 Direct Testimony of David B. Simek and Daniel S. Dane	4
19	re: Temporary Rates, including attachments (04-28-17)	J
20	2 Settlement Agreement regarding	4
21	Temporary Rates, including attachments (06-02-17)	ュ
22	accaciments (00-02-17)	
23		
24		

# 1 PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this morning in Docket 17-048, which is Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) rate case. We're here on temporary rates. There is a Settlement on file.

Before we do anything else, let's take appearances.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning,

Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty

Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas).

MR. BUCKLEY: Good morning, Chairman Honigberg, Commissioner Bailey. My name is Brian Buckley. I'm a staff attorney with the Office of the Consumer Advocate. To my left is James Brennan, Director of Finance for the Office of the Consumer Advocate. And we are to represent the interests of residential ratepayers.

MR. DEXTER: Appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff, Paul Dexter and Alexander Speidel. And joining me today is Steve Frink, from the Gas & Water Division, and Jayson Laflamme is in the witness stand. He'll be

1 testifying today. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll note for 2 3 the record that Commissioner Scott is not here 4 today. He is in Connecticut. He may or may 5 not be participating in this docket, depending 6 on how things go. 7 Any preliminary matters we need to deal with, before we take up the Settlement? 8 Mr. Sheehan. 9 10 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. We propose 11 marking two exhibits today. The first, Exhibit 12 1, would be the Testimony of Mr. Simek and Mr. 13 Dane for Temporary Rates, with the attachments. 14 They have been separately numbered Bates 001 15 through about 093 or 094. And the second 16 exhibit would be the Settlement Agreement 17 itself that we filed about ten days ago. 18 Other than that, we have a panel, and 19 we propose each ask our respective witnesses 20 questions about the Settlement. 21 (The documents, as described, 22 were herewith marked as 23 Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2,

{DG 17-048} [Re: Temporary Rates] {06-12-17}

respectively, for

1	identification.)
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
3	Anything else, before we have the witnesses
4	sworn in?
5	MR. DEXTER: Nothing further from
6	Staff.
7	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr.
8	Patnaude.
9	(Whereupon <b>David B. Simek</b> ,
10	<b>Pradip Chattopadhyay,</b> and
11	<b>Jayson P. Laflamme</b> were duly
12	sworn by the Court Reporter.)
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan.
14	DAVID B. SIMEK, SWORN
15	PRADIP CHATTOPADHYAY, SWORN
16	JAYSON P. LAFLAMME, SWORN
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. SHEEHAN:
19	Q. Good morning. Mr. Simek, excuse me, your name
20	and position with the Company please.
21	A. (Simek) David Simek. And I am a Lead Utility
22	Analyst.
23	Q. And were you involved in the preparation of
24	testimony filed in support of temporary rates?

```
1 A. (Simek) Yes.
```

- 2 Q. And that is the document entitled the testimony
- of you and Mr. Dane, with attachments, that was
- 4 filed along with all the other rate case
- 5 filings, is that correct?
- 6 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 7 Q. And that's what we've marked as "Exhibit 1"
- 8 this morning?
- 9 A. (Simek) Correct.
- 10 Q. If I were to ask you the same questions in that
- 11 testimony, would your answers this morning be
- 12 the same?
- 13 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 14 Q. And do you adopt that testimony here today?
- 15 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 16 Q. And were you also involved in the discussions
- that resulted in the Settlement Agreement that
- has been filed for review today on temporary
- 19 rates?
- 20 A. (Simek) Yes, I was.
- 21 Q. And is that the document that's been marked as
- "Exhibit 2" this morning?
- 23 A. (Simek) Yes, it is.
- 24 Q. Could you give us just a brief outline summary

of what the proposed settlement is?

A. (Simek) Sure. On April 28th, 2017, the Company filed a distribution rate case requesting to implement new permanent and temporary gas distribution rates. The Petition for Temporary Rates requested an increase in operating revenues of \$7,778,497 effective July 1st, 2017.

Following a technical session and settlement conference on temporary rates, on May 26, 2017, the Company, Commission Staff, and Office of Consumer Advocate all agreed on a distribution service revenue increase of 6,750,000, effective July 1, 2017.

If approved by the Commission, the proposed temporary revenue increase will be collected by applying a uniform increase of 9.56 percent to all Liberty's current distribution rates and charges, and shall take effect for service rendered as of July 1, 2017. Any difference between distribution revenues at permanent rates and distribution revenues at temporary rates shall be reconciled as of July 1, 2017 through the date that permanent

1 rates become effective.

- Q. And, Mr. Simek, is it the Company's belief that this Settlement of the temporary rate request
- 4 will result in just and reasonable rates?
- 5 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. I have no further questions for Mr. Simek.
- 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Buckley.
- 9 BY MR. BUCKLEY:
- 10 Q. Mr. Chattopadhyay, please state your name for the record.
- 12 A. (Chattopadhyay) Pradip Chattopadhyay.
- Q. And by who are you employed and what are your duties with that employer?
- 15 A. (Chattopadhyay) I'm with the Office of the
  16 Consumer Advocate, and I'm the Assistant
  17 Consumer Advocate.
- 18 Q. Did you participate in the process that
  19 resulted in the Settlement Agreement?
- 20 A. (Chattopadhyay) Yes, I did.
- Q. And, judging from your experience in taking in temporary rate settlement agreements in the past, does this Settlement Agreement provide for just and reasonable rates and is it in the

1 public interest?

- A. (Chattopadhyay) Yes, it does.
- Q. Can you elaborate a bit on what leads you to that conclusion?
  - A. (Chattopadhyay) Yes. I mean, the very first fact, that the rates will be reconciled later to whatever the permanent rates end up being, gives us considerable comfort that this is in the direction of just and reasonable rates.

More importantly, for us, the real issues that we will be looking at later, like the decoupling proposal that the Company has or the rate design matters or the cost of capital as well, those are not — they don't impact the rates here. So, going forward, we will have the opportunity to look at all of the other moving pieces much more carefully in the permanent rate phase.

So, I think, for the purpose of temporary rates, this is just and reasonable, what we have here.

MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you,

Mr. Chattopadhyay. That's all for now.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter.

## [WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]

- 1 MR. DEXTER: Thank you.
- 2 BY MR. DEXTER:

8

9

10

20

21

- Q. Mr. Laflamme, would you state your name and employer for the record please.
- A. (Laflamme) My name is Jayson Laflamme. I am a member of the Staff of the Public Utilities

  Commission.
  - Q. And could you indicate your responsibilities in this case with respect to the proposed temporary rates?
- (Laflamme) Yes. I am an analyst with the Gas & 11 Α. 12 Water Division of the Public Utilities 13 Commission. And, with respect to this filing, 14 I reviewed the filing. I participated in 15 propounding discovery to the Company with 16 regard to their temporary rate request. I also 17 participated in the formulation of the 18 Settlement Agreement that's being presented 19 today.
  - Q. And, in your opinion, do the rates proposed in the Settlement -- are the rates proposed in the Settlement just and reasonable?
- 23 A. (Laflamme) Yes.
- MR. DEXTER: That's all I have.

[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]

```
1 Thank you.
```

- 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
- 3 Bailey.
- 4 BY CMSR. BAILEY:
- 5 Q. Just to be clear, the rates are just and
  6 reasonable on a temporary basis, because you
- 7 haven't fully analyzed the entire proposal, is
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. (Laflamme) That's correct.
- 10 Q. So, this isn't a -- this isn't a floor under
- 11 which you can't go, if you determine, in the
- analysis in permanent rates, that that's
- 13 appropriate?
- 14 A. (Laflamme) That is correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. Mr. Simek, can we look at the rate
- 16 impact?
- 17 A. (Simek) Sure.
- 18 Q. I understand that every rate element is going
- to go up 9.56 percent. But, in your schedules
- 20 attached to the Settlement Agreement, I didn't
- see a change on July 1st?
- 22 A. (Simek) The schedules here --
- 23 Q. Hang on a second. It's Page -- say, Page 1 of
- 24 4, in -- these aren't Bates stamped.

#### [WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]

- A. (Simek) No. Page 1 of 4 of Attachment 2?
- 2 Q. Yes.

- 3 A. (Simek) Okay. These schedules are meant to
- 4 look on an annual basis based on a November
- 5 through October period, where the rates have
- 6 already been approved for the entire 12 months.
- 7 Q. So, this is the existing rates?
- 8 A. (Simek) What we have here is, we're comparing
- 9 approved rates to existing rates, correct.
- 10 Q. Oh. As if they were in effect for the whole
- 11 entire 12-month period?
- 12 A. (Simek) Correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. So, walk me through, show me where a
- 14 residential customer, what the difference in
- their bill will be?
- 16 A. (Simek) Sure. If you look at the third box, on
- the bottom, the "Difference" box, they're
- 18 basically broken out between three sections.
- The first section is where the settled
- temporary rates are, the middle section shows
- 21 what the already approved rates are, and then
- the bottom section shows the difference between
- the two.
- And, if we go all the way over to the

# [WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]

right of the "Difference" box, for the very

2 first line it shows "\$50.03", that would be the

3 total bill difference on an annual period for a

4 residential heating customer if these rates

5 were in effect for the full 12 months. So,

it's \$50.03, or a 5.03 percent total bill

7 increase.

- 8 Q. I'm not seeing those numbers. Sorry. They're
- 9 very small.
- 10 A. (Simek) Sorry.
- 11 Q. I see it now. Thank you.
- 12 A. (Simek) You're welcome.
- 13 Q. Okay. The other question that I had is to
- confirm that temporary rates are in effect at
- existing rates in the Keene Division?
- 16 A. (Simek) Correct.
- 17 Q. So, although you're not changing them, if the
- 18 ultimate decision is something different than
- you proposed, then Keene may have to -- the
- 20 Keene Division customers may have to recoup the
- 21 difference between temporary rates and
- 22 permanent rates?
- 23 A. (Simek) Correct.
- 24 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.

[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme] That's all I have. 1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: This question is 2 3 for Dr. Chattopadhyay and Mr. Laflamme. BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 4 5 Are you both satisfied that the Company's books Q. 6 and records show that they're currently under 7 recovering their approved rate of return? (Chattopadhyay) Yes. 8 9 (Laflamme) Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I 11 have no further questions. 12 Mr. Sheehan or Mr. Dexter or 13 Mr. Buckley, do you have any further questions 14 for the witnesses? 15 MR. SHEEHAN: I do. 16 (Atty. Sheehan conferring with 17 Mr. Mullen.) 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Just to clarify 19 one point. 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. SHEEHAN: 22 On the Keene, if there is a change in the Keene 23 rates, permanent rates some time from now, is

{DG 17-048} [Re: Temporary Rates] {06-12-17}

it -- is it going to be reconciled back to

```
[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]
 1
         July 1 or would it just go into effect, say,
 2
         Spring of '18, when the permanent rates go into
 3
         effect?
         (Simek) They would just go into effect when
 4
    Α.
 5
         they're actually approved.
 6
         So, there is no reconciliation back to July 1,
    Q.
 7
         because there are no temporary rates for Keene?
         (Simek) For Keene, correct.
 8
    Α.
9
                   CMSR. BAILEY: No. That's the
10
         question I asked.
11
                   MR. SHEEHAN: And we understood there
12
         was a disconnect, which is why --
13
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Yes. I mean, if
14
         temporary rates are existing rates, then there
15
         is a reconciliation. And you're saying
16
         "there's no reconciliation"?
17
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
18
                   WITNESS SIMEK: I'm sorry. I
19
         misunderstood the question.
20
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
21
         Why is that reasonable?
    Q.
22
         (Simek) Well, for temporary rates at this
    Α.
23
         point, we're just requesting the -- all the
24
         numbers that we presented were for only
```

# [WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]

```
1 EnergyNorth. So, we are only requesting
```

- 2 EnergyNorth rates to be increased at the
- 3 temporary rate range based on their books. We
- 4 never -- we aren't including anything with
- 5 Keene in this filing at all to increase the
- 6 rates.
- 7 Q. Except you want to roll the Keene rates into
- 8 the EnergyNorth rates, and that is an issue
- 9 that will be adjudicated?
- 10 A. (Simek) Correct.
- 11 Q. So, Staff or OCA, have you thought about
- whether that's reasonable to not make the Keene
- rates temporary? Was that your understanding?
- 14 A. (Laflamme) Yes.
- 15 Q. That they were not temporary?
- 16 A. (Laflamme) Yes.
- 17 A. (Chattopadhyay) That is correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. And why is that reasonable?
- 19 A. (Chattopadhyay) The way I'm looking at it is we
- 20 will be addressing that issue in the permanent
- 21 rate phase carefully. So, --
- 22 Q. Well, I understand that you'll address it
- carefully. But, if it's wrong today, you can't
- reconcile it, if they're not set at temporary

```
rates right now. But you're saying that
they're not under earning. What if they're
over earning?
```

A. (Laflamme) It's, from Staff's perspective,
we're -- Staff is considering whether it's even
just and reasonable to make -- to have the
Keene rates rolled into the EnergyNorth rates.
We haven't done the -- we haven't investigated
that, that issue yet. So, from Staff's
perspective, for temporary rate reasons, for
purposes of temporary rates, we believe that it
is best to -- just to maintain the current rate
level for the Keene Division, until a
determination is made whether it is even
reasonable to roll the Keene rates into the
EnergyNorth rates.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay.

#### BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:

Q. I think I understand that as well. But the Company's assertion is that the better rate structure is that the rates should be combined. That implies anyway that, in the Keene Division, they're over earning right now.

Those rates are too high, while the EnergyNorth

rates are too low. Doesn't that indicate that they should be reconciled at the end of the case?

If, at the end of the case, the Company ends up being correct. Staff and the OCA determine that, or we determine it over the objections of Staff and/or the OCA, shouldn't the Keene customers see the benefit of that and have those rates reconciled?

- A. (Simek) Currently, the Keene Division is under earning. We actually lose money at Keene.
- Q. That's right. That's right. Because you want to have the rest of the customers subsidize

  Keene, pejoratively, because they're under earning, that's right.

So, you're then continuing to give them a benefit by not having a temporary rate set, correct? I got it. I had myself upside down.

- A. (Simek) Correct. Again, we weren't sure whether it would be approved, to be able to combine the rates together. So, at this point, we thought it might be premature.
- Q. Yes. Clearly. I'm sure Staff told you immediately, and I know the issue came up in

[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]

the prehearing conference. Yes, I can see why
you would be concerned about that.

But talk me through why it still doesn't make sense to have this temporary rate reconcilable?

6 MR. SHEEHAN: If I could walk through a couple of questions with Mr. Simek?

## 8 BY MR. SHEEHAN:

3

4

5

- 9 Q. And starting with what you just said, Keene is currently under earning, correct?
- 11 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 12 Q. If we had proposed a temporary rate for Keene
  13 only, that would have been an increase in the
  14 Keene Division rate?
- 15 A. (Simek) Correct.
- 16 Q. In fact, we have proposed to roll Keene into
  17 EnergyNorth, which, under current numbers,
  18 would result in a decrease in Keene's rates?
- 19 A. (Simek) Correct.
- Q. And, as the Chairman just said, that certainly raises an issue of a subsidy that will be addressed through the litigation of the permanent rate case, is that correct?
  - A. (Simek) Correct.

```
[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]
 1
    Q.
         So, if the Commission decides not to include
 2
         Keene in EnergyNorth, we will have then under
 3
         earned for another six months, and could then
 4
         address, if there is a permanent resolution,
 5
         what an appropriate rate for Keene could be?
 6
         (Simek) Correct.
    Α.
 7
         And it most likely would be an increase, and
         the Company would just have forgone the
 8
         reconciliation back to July 1 of '17?
9
10
         (Simek) Correct.
    Α.
11
         And we would hopefully start a new rate
    Q.
12
         effective, say, March of 2018?
13
         (Simek) Correct.
14
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That all makes
15
         perfect sense, I think. I continue to suffer
         from NPT brain. I'm not sure if Commissioner
16
17
         Bailey has the same affliction.
18
                    Are there any other questions that
19
         Mr. Buckley or Mr. Dexter have for the panel,
20
         or the need to clarify anything that
21
         Commissioner Bailey and I have misunderstood
```

{DG 17-048} [Re: Temporary Rates] {06-12-17}

MR. DEXTER: I have no further

22

23

24

this morning?

questions.

```
[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~Chattopadhyay~Laflamme]
 1
                    MR. BUCKLEY: No further questions,
 2
         sir.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Is
         there anything else we need to do before we
 4
         adjourn and take this matter under advisement?
 5
 6
                         [No verbal response.]
 7
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Then, we will do
 8
         that.
                 Thank you all.
 9
                         (Whereupon the hearing was
10
                         adjourned at 11:19 a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```